

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/658,335	SHIMOYAMA ET AL.
	Examiner DUC Q. DINH	Art Unit 2629

**All Participants:** **Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) DUC Q. DINH. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) DANIEL GLUECK. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 23 April 2007 **Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

2,3,7 AND 17

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*Correcting the antecedent's basis for the limitation "real space" of claims 2, 3, 7 and 17.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)